

**"rucki zucki"**  
**scanning tool**

# "rucki zucki" scanning tool

João Collier de Mendonça [@joaocmendonca1](#)

Andreas Petker [@mansior](#)



# why

CERTs must frequently evaluate emerging issues

- problems on own infra/customer devices
- heartbleed (apr. 2014)
- shellshock (sep. 2014)
- house-of-keys (nov. 2015)
- hardware backdoors FGTA`bc11*xy+Qqz27, <<<`  
`%s(un=' %s ' ) = %u, ...`
- tls drown (Mar. 2016) || sslv2 related issues

and still be **very fast**

# main challenge

tools and processes must cope with  
a large™ number of IP addresses

large ~ 20<sup>9</sup>

<b>Customers</b>	<b>Germany (Millions)</b>	<b>Group (Millions)</b>
Mobile	40	156
Fixed	20	29
Broadband	12	17.8

# motivation

## why did we have to build our own solution?

- reactive nature of a CERT's duties
  - once triggered, no time to lose
- be able to get a clear assessment asap
- avoid waiting for PoC scripts (or MSF Modules)

## motivation (2)

**why scan if you have shodan.io/censys.io?**

- shodan \$/€
- lack of transparency (*how & when*)
- no data for internal/non-routable addresses
- shodan/censys data are not as accurate as we need, eg. no info on static/dynamic/mobile address pools.

**own tools provide more detailed and up-to-date results than publicly-available data**

**how does this work?**

# stage 1: bazooka mode



Image source: IWM (NA 8376), [iwm.org.uk](http://iwm.org.uk)

## **stage 1: masscan**

- started with own tools and script (no good)
- masscan is the tool of choice for large-scale scans
- very quickly find possibly affected hosts (fast triage)
- output results for post-processing (stage 2)

# stage 1: masscan performance

resource	hosts/sec (tcp)	hosts/sec (udp)
vServer (1Gbps link, 2x1,6GHz, 4GB)	144 kpps	109~400 kpps

- Usual rates with modest resources > 100kpps
- Important: scan from outside your AS (external view)

# stage 2: sniper mode



Image source: IWM (B 8179), [iwm.org.uk](http://iwm.org.uk)

## **stage 2: detailed scan**

- second-stage tool is typically either a self-written script or public proof-of-concept
- takes results from first stage and does in-depth checks
- ~10-100x slower than first stage

**what is "rucki  
zucki"?**

## what is "rucki zucki"?

- "rucki zucki" connects both stages (bazooka, sniper)
-  "wrapper" script that controls masscan and a second-stage tool
- second-stage tool is typically either a self-written script or public proof-of-concept

# **functionalities and config options**

- retrieve IP subnets/ranges (RIPE REST API) for specified AS
- parses subnet values and executes masscan/scripts
- logging, validation checks
- configuration options for both stages
- easy-integration of scripts, nmap scripts, python etc

# Q&A

## #thankyou



Andreas Petker [@mansior](#)

João Collier de Mendonça [@joaocmendonca1](#)

# Q&A



# Q&A

- how does "rucki zucki" handles parallelization?
- what second stage modules did you develop so far?
- for which assessments did you use it so far?

# speakers' bios

## **Andreas Petker** [@mansior](#)

Andreas is a Security Analyst at Deutsche Telekom Cyber Defense Center since 2009. He is mainly focused on Vulnerability and Advisory Management and rapid prototyping of incident detection and response tools.

## **João Collier de Mendonça** [@joaocmendonca1](#)

João is an Incident Handler/Security Analyst at Deutsche Telekom Cyber Defense Center since 2010. He is mainly focused on network-based incident detection and build-up of incident handling know-how across Deutsche Telekom Group.